UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

NORTHERN US DISTRICT ONG OAKLAND CALLED.

United States of America,	Case No. CR 18-0025 JSW ON ONLY COUR
Plaintiff,) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
CORNEL MUAM MAXEY Defendant.	JR,
continuance outweigh the best interest of the	cord on JKN. 22, 2018, the Court excludes time under the Speed N. 25, 2018 and finds that the ends of justice served by the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factors:
Failure to grant a continuan See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	ce would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (B)(I).
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonab	complex, due to <i>[circle applicable reasons]</i> the number of e prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact le to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial established by this section. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ce would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, cise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ce would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, aled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ce would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time aration, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. B)(iv).
	record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
For the reasons stated on the 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for delay re	record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § esulting from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Jones
DATED: <u>JAN. 22</u> , 2018	
	DONNA M. RYU
10	United States Magistrate Judge

Assistant United States Attorney

STIPULATED:

Attorney for Defendant